Examiner-Initiated Interview Summ		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	narv	09/960,728	SYMES, DOMINI	IC HUGO
Examiner-initiated interview duminary		Examiner	Art Unit	
		David J. Huisman	2183	
All Participants:		Status of Application:		
(1) <u>David J. Huisman</u> .		(3)		
(2) Stanley Spooner, Reg. No. 27,393.		(4)		
Date of Interview: <u>17 August 2006</u>		Time:		
	☐ Applica	ant's representative)		
Part I.				
Rejection(s) discussed:				
			•	
Claims discussed: claims 14 and 15				
Claims 14 and 15				
Prior art documents discussed:				
Part II.				
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING TH See Continuation Sheet	IE GENEI	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:	
Part III.				
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a solution of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a solution of all issues. A brie 	ation. The separate r	e examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the interview, since	ne substance
				•
,			-	· · ·
(Examiner/SPE Signature)	(Applicant	/Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appro	opriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

The examiner had telephoned the attorney of record to propose an examiner's amendment to fix 101 issues with claims 14 and 15. Specifically, claims 14 and 15 do not produce a tangible result and so adding language regarding storing the output data word was discussed. Applicant eventually agreed to the proposed amendment. Subsequent to the conversation, however, the examiner became aware of two instruction sets which read on the claims. Due to time constraints, the examiner did not feel that there was sufficient time to propose and discuss further examiner's amendments. Consequently, an Office Action has been written and allowable subject matter has been indicated. The examiner apologizes for any inconvenience.